arXiv:hep-ph/0211092v2 22 Feb 2006

Unification on gauge invariance and relativity

Haigang Lu
College of Chemistry, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China,
and Institute of Molecular Science, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China[*]

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

The inconsistence of Dirac-Weyl field equations with the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance of neutrinos in quantum mechanics led to generalize the special relativity to the generic relativity, which was composed of the special relativity and its three analogues with different signatures of metrics. The combination of the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and the generic relativity naturally deduced the strong gauge symmetries $SU(3)$ and the electroweak ones $SU(2)\times U(1)$ in the Standard Model. The universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance of elementary fermions is attributed to its nature of complex line in three dimensional projective geometry.

PACS numbers: 12.10.Dm; 04.20.Cv

The principle of gauge invariance plays a key role in the Standard Model (SM), which is composed of Weinberg-Salam $SU(2)\times U(1)$ model[1, 2] for the electroweak interactions and $SU(3)$ quantum chromodynamics[3, 4] for the strong interactions. Although the SM has achieved great success in explaining experimental results in high energy physics[5], it has never been accepted as a complete theory of fundamental physics. The "minimal" grand unified theories[6], in which the $SU(2)\times U(1)$ and $SU(3)$ gauge symmetries are incorporated into a simple $SU(5)$ one, was in definite disagreement with the experimental limits on proton decay[7], and the other ones must involve too many hypothetical particles to be attractive[8]. On the other hand, the fundamental interactions in the SM are mainly determined by the principle of gauge invariance and field equations for free elementary fermions, i.e. Dirac-Weyl equations, which come from quantum mechanics and the principle of relativity.

In quantum mechanics, the first postulate is that the state of a quantum mechanical system is completely specified by a wavefunction $\psi(\boldsymbol{r},t)$ that depends on the coordinates of the particle and on time, which has the important property that $\psi^*(\mathbf{r},t)\psi(\mathbf{r},t)d\tau$ is the probability that the particle lies in the volume element $d\tau$ located at $\mathbf{r}$ at time t. Any wavefunction can be multiplied by a phase factor $\exp(i\alpha)$, where α is a scale function of coordinates and time, with no physical consequence. The infinite set of these phase factors form the unitary group called $U(1)$. An intrinsic and complete description of electromagnetism for electron, one of elementary fermions, can be introduced by this $U(1)$ gauge invariance. Because all other elementary fermions, neutrinos and quarks, are as fundamental as electrons in quantum mechanics, it is reasonable that every elementary fermions have a $U(1)$ gauge invariance, called the *universal* $U(1)$ gauge invariance for its universality for all elementary constituents of matter. That is, it is necessary to take the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance as a fundamental principle in the fundamental interactions in the SM.

In Weinberg-Salam model for electroweak interactions, the field equations for all elementary fermions are the Dirac-Weyl equations[9], the only known field equations for fermions (in units $\hbar=c=1$):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi=\pm\left(\sigma_x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\sigma_y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\sigma_z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)\psi=\pm\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{1}$$

where x, y, z and t are spacetime coordinates and the σ's are the 2×2 Pauli spin matrices:

$$\sigma_x=\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{bmatrix},\ \sigma_y=\begin{bmatrix}0&-i\\i&0\end{bmatrix},\ \sigma_x=\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{bmatrix},$$

$\boldsymbol{\sigma}=(\sigma_x,\sigma_y,\sigma_z)$ and $\boldsymbol{r}=(x,y,z)$ are 3-vectors. The solutions of Eqs. (1) are two-component plane-wave solutions

$$\psi=u_r\exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-Et)], \tag{2}$$

where $r=1,2$, and u_r's are 2×1 constant matrices and will be omitted for compactness later, E is total energy and $\boldsymbol{p}=(p_x,p_y,p_z)$ is 3-momentum. The Dirac-Weyl equations are invariant under the $U(1)$ gauge transformation

$$\begin{align}
\psi=e^{\pm i(\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-Et)} &\ \rightarrow\ \psi'=e^{\pm i(\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}-Et\pm\alpha)} \tag{3a}\\
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t},\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}}\right) &\ \rightarrow\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-ie\phi,\frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}}+ie\boldsymbol{A}\right) \tag{3b}\\
(\phi,\boldsymbol{A}) &\ \rightarrow\ \left(\phi-\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial t},\boldsymbol{A}+\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}}\right) \tag{3c}
\end{align}$$

where e is the electronic charge of electron, $(\phi,\boldsymbol{A})=(\phi,A_x,A_y,A_z)$ is a gauge potential, α is a scale function of space and time. In the Lagrangian of the $U(1)$ gauge theory, the only interaction term between elementary fermion field and the gauge potential is

$$ie\phi\psi^*\psi+ie\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\psi^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi. \tag{4}$$

In the first family of elementary fermions in the SM, the only observable ones are electron and neutrino. The Dirac-Weyl equations correctly represented the electrons because its electronic charge is e and the electromagnetic potential can be taken as the introduced gauge field. But it failed for the neutrinos whose electronic charge is zero and there is no observable gauge field. To find the correct field equations for neutrinos consistent with the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance, we must reconsider the kinematic part $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}})$ of the Dirac-Weyl equations, which comes from the energy-momentum, and therefore space-time, relation of the special relativity.

From group theory, the Dirac-Weyl equations are the only field equations for fermions in the special relativity. We must go beyond the special relativity within the general relativity to construct the correct field equations consistent with the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance.

The origin of the special relativity is its space-time relation

$$t^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

But if it is the only way for existence of ultimate constituents of matter or elementary particles? In a remark concerning Weyl's 1918 work[10], A. Einstein wrote that "If light-rays were the only means by which metrical relationships in the neighbourhood of space-time point could be determined, ..."[11], which indicated that he took the light-rays as one of space-time relations, not the only one.

In four dimensional space-time, there are only four possible quadric space-time relations (in the case of keeping the time direction):

$$t^2 = +x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \;=\; \boldsymbol{r} \bullet\bullet\bullet\, \boldsymbol{r}, \tag{5a}$$
$$t^2 = -x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \;=\; \boldsymbol{r} \circ\bullet\bullet\, \boldsymbol{r}, \tag{5b}$$
$$t^2 = -x^2 - y^2 + z^2 \;=\; \boldsymbol{r} \circ\circ\bullet\, \boldsymbol{r}, \tag{5c}$$
$$t^2 = -x^2 - y^2 - z^2 \;=\; \boldsymbol{r} \circ\circ\circ\, \boldsymbol{r}, \tag{5d}$$

in which each of operators $\bullet$ or $\circ$ between $\boldsymbol{r}$'s denotes that there is a extra factor 1 or i corresponding to one component of space or momentum in the inner product of two 3-vectors. The only differences between any two of these space-time relations are their signatures of metrics. The relation Eq. (5a) is just the space-time relation invariant under Lorentz transformations of the special relativity, from which the Dirac-Weyl equations for electrons were derived. The others could be taken as the original space-time relations to derive the field equations for the other elementary fermions: neutrinos and quarks.

Because there are four species of space-time relations, there are four theories of relativity keeping these quadric forms invariant. The whole set of these theories of relativity is called *generic relativity*, which is more general than the special relativity and still a special case of the general relativity not including gravitations.

Because of $-x^2 = (ix)^2$,the field equations associated with quadric relations (5) are derived following the approach of Weyl[9] or Lu[12],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \bullet\bullet\bullet\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{6a}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\bullet\bullet\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{6b}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\circ\bullet\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{6c}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\circ\circ\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi. \tag{6d}$$

The Eqs. (6a) is just the Dirac-Weyl equations for electron whose electronic charge is e. But what are the electronic charges for fermion fields represented by the other field equations?

Firstly, in the Eqs. (6d), which is symmetric in three dimensions of space, its kinematic part (including the gauge field) is $-(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - ie\phi) \pm (i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}} + ie\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{A})$. Because not all four components of the gauge field are independent, the temporal gauge $\phi = 0$ can be chosen. And in the same time, the space is chosen as real space in Mikowski spacetime so that the only interaction term is $\pm ie\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\psi^* i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi = \mp e\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\psi^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi$, which is anti-hermitian and unobservable contrast with the electromagnetic interaction term $\pm ie\boldsymbol{A}\cdot\psi^*\boldsymbol{\sigma}\psi$ for electrons. That is, no interaction from the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance is observed in the field equations (6d) and its (complex) charge is a pure imaginary charge $\pm ie$. If the real part of this complex charge is interpreted as the electronic charge of electromagnetic interactions, its electronic charge is zero. Then the Eqs. (6d) were proposed as the field equations for neutrinos.

Because of the isotrope of space in its three dimensions, we could formally take the complex charge of the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance as 1/3 to each of space dimension. From the analogical approach, it can be obtained that the complex charges are formally $\pm(2/3 + i/3)e$ and $\pm(1/3 + 2i/3)e$ and the absolute electronic charges are $\pm 2e/3$ and $\pm e/3$ for the Eqs. (6b) and (6c) respectively. Then the Eqs. (6b) and (6c) were proposed as the field equations for quarks.

It is obvious that the Eqs. (6b) and (6c) are not symmetric in the three space dimensions. Because of the symmetry $SO(3)$ of space, each of them is expanded into a set of equations respectively:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\bullet\bullet\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{7a}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \bullet\circ\bullet\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{7b}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi \;=\; \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \bullet\bullet\circ\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{7c}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \bullet\circ\circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{8a}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\bullet\circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi, \tag{8b}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi = \pm\boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ\circ\bullet \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}}\psi. \tag{8c}$$

The solutions of the Eqs. (7) and (8) are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\bullet\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\circ\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\bullet\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\circ\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\bullet\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\circ\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix},$$

in which there are two symmetries in each set of solutions: $U(1)^3$ from direct product of the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and $SO(3)$ from the symmetry of space. The minimal group including both of these symmetries as subgroups is $U(3)$, which can also be deduced from the $U(3)$ symmetry of the 3-dimensional isotropic oscillator[13] by analogism.

From the decomposition $U(3) = SU(3) \times U(1)$, it is concluded that the quarks must have a $SU(3)$ gauge symmetry, the origin of $SU(3)$ quantum chromodynamics for strong interactions, and an extra $U(1)$ one.

Because there is no way to transform all the three space dimensions to real ones synchronously in the Eqs. (7) and (8) so that there is no free quark in our three dimensional real space. It can be taken as the intrinsic origin of quark confinement.

Now, it can be concluded that the strong interactions can be described by a secondary $SU(3)$ gauge theory on the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and the generic relativity.

By far, there are eight solutions for all field equations from the generic relativity. According to the real-imaginary symmetry of space, they can be put into four two-dimensional representations of $U(2)$ gauge symmetry like the above $U(3)$ one.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\bullet\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\circ\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\bullet\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\circ\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\circ\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\bullet\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \bullet\bullet\circ \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \\ \exp[\pm i(\boldsymbol{p} \circ\circ\bullet \boldsymbol{r} - Et)] \end{bmatrix}.$$

But this $U(2)$ symmetry have a difference with the usual U(2) group. For instance, the basic vectors of the electron and neutrino are $a = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $b = (-1, -1, -1, -1)$ in the space $(Et, p_x x, p_y y, p_z z)$. The angle between these two vectors is $\arccos\frac{a\cdot b}{\sqrt{a\cdot a}\sqrt{b\cdot b}} = \arccos(-1/2) = 2\pi/3$. That is to say, this $U(2)$ gauge symmetry is deflective and the deflective angle is $\theta = 2\pi/3 - \pi/2 = \pi/6$ with respect to the orthogonal case. It is very interesting that $\sin^2\theta = \sin^2(\pi/6) = 0.25$, just like the Weinberg angle $\sin^2\theta_W \approx 0.23$. The resulted gauge invariance is $U(2) = SU(2) \times U(1)$, which just is the gauge symmetries of the electro-weak interactions in the Weinberg-Salam model.

Now, it can be concluded that the electro-weak interactions can be described by a secondary $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory on the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and generic relativity also.

Because the $SU(2) \times U(1) \times SU(3)$ Standard Model have been deduced from the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and the generic relativity that is included in the general relativity, there is only two universal interactions: $U(1)$ gauge invariance and gravitations. It is well known that the gravitation could be attributed entirely to the geometry of spacetime. What is the geometric significance of the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance? In [12], the wavefunctions of the Dirac-Weyl equations are interpreted as the homogeneous coordinates of lines in three dimensional projective geometry, and it is easy to generalize to all cases in the generic relativity. Then all wavefunctions of these elementary fermions can be interpreted as the homogeneous coordinates of lines in three dimensional projective geometry. Each of these lines is a complex closed 1-dimensional geometric elements and forms a $U(1)$ group. That is, the linelike (non-pointlike) nature of elementary fermions is the geometric origin of the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance in microscopic world.

In conclusion, the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance of elementary fermions led to the special relativity to generalize to generic relativity, and the Standard Model can be built as a secondary gauge theory on the universal $U(1)$ gauge invariance and the generic relativity.

---

* Electronic address: luhg@pku.org.cn; Present address: Shanxi University.

[1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264(1967).

[2] A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory*, edited by N. Svartholm (Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1969), p.367.

[3] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 3633(1973); **9**, 980(1974).

[4] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rep. **14**, 129(1974).

[5] S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).

[6] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974).

[7] P. Langacker, Phys. Rep., **72**, 185 (1980).

[8] R. N. Mohapatra, *Unification and Supersymmetry*, 3rd ed., (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003).

[9] H. Weyl, Z. Phys. **56**, 330(1929), (an English translation is given in [14]).

[10] H. Weyl, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Berlin, 465(1918), (an English translation is given in [14]).

[11] A. Einstein, Remark published at the end of [10], (an English translation is given in [14]).

[12] H. Lu, hep-ph/0312026.

[13] J. M. Jauch and E. L. Hill, Phys. Rev. **57**, 641(1940).

[14] L. O'Raifeartaigh, *The dawning of gauge theory*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997).